Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/518,589	JOHNSTON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John C. Holman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 September 2007	view: 11 September 2007 Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 2/23/07		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: Prior Art of Record		
Part II.		·
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend claim 40 and cancel claims 63 and 67 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, in view of page 7 lines 4-7 and page 11 lines 26-33 of the instant specification.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examinerions oignature) (Applicanti	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – ir appropriate)